90-6-2-86

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC Document 2 Filed 01/26/06 Page 1 of 5 INFORMATION

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 United States of America, et al., 5 Plaintiffs, 6 -VS-NO. C-125-ECR C-125-B United States District Court Walker River Irrigation 400 S. Virginia Street District, et al., Reno, Nevada 89501 October 1, 2004 9 Defendants. 10 11 TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE 12 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT A. MCQUAID, JR. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 14 15 16 APPEARANCES: 17 FOR PLAINTIFF WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE: Alice Walker 18 Attorney at Law 19 FOR PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES: Susan Schneider 20 Attorney at Law FOR PLAINTIFF MINERAL COUNTY: 21 Simeon Herskovits Attorney at Law 22 FOR LYON COUNTY: George Benesch 23 Steve Rye Attorneys at Law 24 FOR PLAINTIFF MONO COUNTY: Stacey Simon 25 Attorney at Law

1	APPEARANCES: (Cont')	
2	FOR PLAINTIFF RIVIGLIO, ET Al.:	Laura A. Schroeder
3		Attorney at Law
4	FOR DEFENDANT LANDOLTS:	William E. Schaeffer Attorney at Law
5	FOR DEFENDANT WALKER RIVER	
6	IRRIGATION DISTRICT:	Gordon DePaoli
7		Dale Ferguson Attorneys at Law
8	FOR DEFENDANT UNITED STATES	
9	BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS:	Linda Bowman Attorney at Law
10	FOR DEFENDANT NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE:	Marta Adams
11	OF WINDHIFE:	Attorney at Law
12	ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CALIFORNIA (Present telephonically):	Michael Neville Deputy Attorney General
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography produced by computer-aided transcript	
18		
19		KATHRYN M. FRENCH, RPR NEVADA LICENSE NO. 392 CALIFORNIA LICENSE NO. 8536
20		
21		CALIFORNIA LICENSE NO. 8536
22		
23		
24		
25		

- Joseph O. and Beverly J. Landolt Trust Agreement? 1 MR. HOWARD: Well, that's a good question. And I 2 don't know the answer to that. 3 THE COURT: It is a good question because if that's 4 the fact, shouldn't the trust agreement be the party to this 5 case rather than the Landolts? 6 MR. HOWARD: Well, no, they're the trustees, so they 7 would be -- it would be the proper parties. 9 Now, we can make the allegation that they are the trustees and could go on from there, but they are the 10 trustees. It's not like the trustees of the trust is a 11 12 separate organization like a corporation. It's a trust. It's a means of holding property. They're the ones that are 13 holding the property in the name of the trust as the trustees. 15 The problem, as I see it, is that there are two problems. The request by itself to stay the court 16 proceeding, at the same time as the Landolts are being 17
 - two problems. The request by itself to stay the court proceeding, at the same time as the Landolts are being denied access or participation in the mediation proceeding, ends up by itself being a denial of equal protection, and a denial of due process where there's, apparently, something going on that is potentially affecting their rights in which they have no means of participating.
 - THE COURT: But they're going to have a means to participate. The process provides that if the settlement negotiations are successful and at least a tentative agreement

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- is reached, that all the parties in this matter are going to have an input at that point to say yeah or nay, we approve, we 2 disapprove, and here's why, and it will get decided at that 3 time. 4
 - MR. HOWARD: Well, it seems to me that's sort of letting -- closing the barn door after the horses have gotten out. I mean, the truth is that they should have an impact on the discussion as it is right now, so that the conclusion is something they'll have an input on, not just after the fact. And as this court I'm sure knows, I mean once a decision is made, then we're in the position of trying to persuade somebody that a decision that was made by a bunch of people over here is the wrong decision, rather than having an input on making the decision in the first place.
 - THE COURT: Why did they wait so long to file this motion if they're serious about it?
 - MR. HOWARD: I hope that you're not suggesting that you don't think that they're serious.
- THE COURT: I'm suggesting that I have some question as to why they waited this long to file this motion if they have this great concern that the Tribe is using too much water. I mean, this report has been published since 1999. This lawsuit has been going on for -- since 1992. It seems 24 to me that once they get denied access to mediation, they 25

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC Document 2 Filed 01/26/06 Page 5 of 5

people get served with any request for extension.

MS. SCHROEDER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else?

(No response.)

THE COURT: Hearing nothing, Thank you very much.

We're adjourned.

(Court adjourned.)

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

KATHRYN M. FRENCH, RPR, CCR

DATE